

Document on Vision 2020

2150. SHRI P. PRABHAKAR REDDY: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the Planning Commission has drawn up a document, "Vision 2020" on the lines of a similar document prepared by the Government of Andhra Pradesh;
- (b) if so, the details of the document drawn up by the Planning Commission;
- (c) the various policy initiatives highlighted in the document to make the economy vibrant togetherwith targets set therein; and
- (d) whether the document would be presented to Parliament for discussion and if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING, MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF STATISTICS AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE REFORMS AND PUBLIC GRIEVANCES OF THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS AND MINISTER OF STATE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DISINVESTMENT (SHRI ARUN SHOURIE): (a) No. Sir.

(b) to (d) Questions do not arise.

Economic and Human Development Indices

2151. SHRI S. RAMACHANDRAN PILLAI: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state the rank in terms of economic development and human development index each separately, State-wise?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING, MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF STATISTICS AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE REFORMS AND PUBLIC GRIEVANCES

OF THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS AND MINISTER OF STATE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DISINVESTMENT (SHRI ARUN SHOURIE): The rank of each State in terms of economic development, as measured by per capita net State domestic product for the latest available year 1998-99, is given in the Statement enclosed (See below). State-wise Human Development Indices have not been prepared, so far.

Statement

*Ranking of States
On the Basis of per Capita NSDP (State Income) at Current Prices
(1998-99)
(Position as on 25.07.2000)*

Sl. No.	State/UT	Rank (1998-99) Q
1.	Andhra Pradesh	10
2	Arunachal Pradesh	12
3.	Assam	20
4.	Bihar	22
5.	Gujarat	6
6.	Haryana	5
7.	Himachal Pradesh	13
8.	Karnataka	9
9.	Kerala	7
10.	Madhya Pradesh	17
11.	Maharashtra	2
12.	Manipur	16
13.	Meghalaya	14
14.	Orissa	19
15.	Punjab	4
16.	Rajasthan	15
17.	Tamil Nadu	8
18.	Tripura	21
19.	Uttar Pradesh	18
20.	West Bengal	11

Sl. No. State/UT	Rank (1998-99) Q
21. Delhi	1
22. Pondicherry	3

Source: Directorate of Economics & Statistics of respective State Governments.

—Central Statistical Organisation

Notes: 1. Q Quick Estimates

2. Lowest rank represents highest value

3. The NSDP figures for the year 1998-99 are not available for some States.

Families Below Poverty Line

2152. SHRI K. M. KHAN: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that after fifty two years of our independence the forty per cent of the people of India are still living below the poverty line;

(b) if so, what are the details of the root causes of such poverty;

(c) whether the Planning Commission have formulated certain reforms to bring the growth and changes on financial and corporate sectors to improve Government and transparency and also to strengthen the social-safety nets; and

(d) if so, the details thereof and the goals achieved in this direction?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING, MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF STATISTICS AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE REFORMS AND PUBLIC GRIEVANCES OF THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS AND MINISTER OF STATE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DISINVESTMENT (SHRI ARUN SHOURIE): (a) and (b) The Planning Commission estimates the percentage of persons living below poverty line at National and State level from the large sample survey of consumer expenditure conducted by the National Sample Survey Organisation (NSSO) at an interval of